

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2003 LB 265

that's done, that's done. The reason that we moved the effective date out to January 1, 2004, was primarily to accommodate them, Senator Stuthman, and the Department of Motor Vehicles, so they can get their computer programmed to accept the data of who has and who has not a concealed carry. That whole time span they have to do this. And quite frankly, I...there are so many good training programs around that I don't think that it's going to be that much of a burden.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Okay. Thank you, Senator Tyson. But when I go to the fiscal note on this, on page one of one, as far as the Nebraska State Patrol, it states in there major objects of expenditures, and totaling \$643,000. And in explanation of this, it says there is no specific provisions within LB 265 which would allow the State Patrol to charge the applicants for conducting the criminal history record check. Is this...does this tell me, or tell the taxpayers of the state of Nebraska, that we're going to have to come up with \$650,000 this next year to help subsidize this program?

SENATOR TYSON: Are you asking me that question?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Tyson.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Yes.

SENATOR TYSON: Of the \$100 that is the fee, that's going to be broken into three pieces. The actual cost to the State Patrol for an FBI check is \$23; for a state check it's \$10. Now, that is subject to change, Senator Stuthman. So they get \$50, the balance of which is credited to the General Fund. The \$50 goes to the county, and is dedicated to the county general fund. I have been told by several sheriffs that they want that in theirs, and I don't think so. I think they have to make their deal with the county supervisors or the county commissioners. But that \$100,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR TYSON: ...I have been told, is more than sufficient to meet the costs of this.