

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2003 LB 265

think he was from Alabama. We were discussing the legislation that I was going to introduce that morning. And I asked him, Dr. Yelick (phonetic), do you have this type of legislation in your state? He said, we absolutely do. He said, I carry a concealed weapon; now, this man, a highly educated man. My wife carries a concealed weapon, and our daughter, I insist that she carries one. And I look at that individual, and he is probably one of the most peaceful men I've ever met in my life, . . .

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR JANSSEN: . . .and one of the most intelligent. And when he tells me the situation where they live, I can absolutely see why he wanted his family to carry concealed weapons. I want to just . . .it's probably the last time I'll speak on this bill. But I wanted to show you, from four years ago, this is what I collected from that bill. And I want to tell you that the first thing that government does if they want absolute control over their citizenry is to disarm them. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Janssen. Senator Thompson, followed by Senator Tyson.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I rise in support of this floor amendment, and I hope that everyone understands exactly what it is. And as Senator Janssen said, this bill has been introduced, and it's pretty much the same. And the reason this language is in there is because the law changed last year, and this must be an old version that is still rolling around. And so the language addressing carrier enforcement officers is no longer needed, because as you know, we put them into the State Patrol. So this is clean-up language, and a technical amendment, and I would support that. We keep arguing about what is everyone's right. And I'm going to just talk about what's the Legislature's obligation dealing with this right. And I'm going to just read from a Supreme Court case of 1989 in Nebraska that held that reasonable regulation of the possession of arms is not prohibited by the State Constitution provision on the right to bear arms. Further, it said, our research has revealed that courts throughout the country have recognized the constitutional