

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2003 LB 265

SENATOR MOSSEY: Equal that of a police officer, no, we're not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If a police officer is adequately trained or inadequately trained, but in any case uses that weapon in a way not provided or allowed for under the training, the city can be held liable if it can be shown that he was not properly trained in the use of that weapon, is that true?

SENATOR MOSSEY: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And the officer can be held personally liable, even criminally liable, if he or she uses it contrary to training, which means when there is no justification, isn't that true?

SENATOR MOSSEY: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is there anything in this bill which says that after a person receives this training, if it turns out to be inadequate, that the trainer is liable for the damage done by the one who was trained?

SENATOR MOSSEY: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. So that is a great difference between the training of a police officer and the liability that can flow, if the training is not adequate, and the training in this bill given to a civilian and a problem could result. Would you agree that those two situations are different?

SENATOR MOSSEY: Between law enforcement and the training?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, and the training under the bill.

SENATOR MOSSEY: Yes. Law enforcement receives more training than in the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are you aware of any police officers who received the training, were given all of the "thou shalt nots" and "thou shalt be careful," yet they pulled their guns when they should not have?