

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2003

LB 265

methodology, and put to him questions that he obviously was not accustomed to having put to him because he didn't have an easy time answering them. He, after that date, traveled all over the country, but he never came back to Nebraska again. I have handed out a bit of information which shows that the man is an out-and-out liar. He is a deceiver and he tricks those people who want to carry guns. This rascal created a falsehood that he transmitted all over the world wherever computers reach. He pretended to be a woman who pretended to have been a former student of his, who praised all of his research and his answers to all of these questions. He was shown to be a sham and a liar. All of those who support carrying guns and rely on Lott, and by the way he has two "t's" in his name, not like Lot of the Bible, but like Lott of Trent Lott fame, and there seems to be something, two people who have two "t's" on the end of their name, if they have an "o" and an "l" preceding those two "t's." He has based all of his conclusions in a book on which Senator Tyson and other gun carriers, and I was shocked that Senator Foley supports this, but he does; those people trusted Lott who based his information and his conclusions on a survey that he had taken. He was very detailed and he documented everything based on what was in that survey and he came to the conclusion that crime goes down in states where they have these concealed carry laws. Well, once he was exposed as a liar and a trickster and pretended to be woman to deceive the public to pretend she was defending him, the academicians began to ask him questions about his so-called research. When they put the question to him to reveal this research, he didn't have it. Now here is a man who is a professor at, I think, Chicago University. He is now with one of those right-wing think tanks. He is a fellow at the American Enterprise Institute. You know what he said? He didn't have any of that information, not any of it. When he was asked why? He said that his computer crashed. I have another article, before we finish, that I'll hand out where a person who was responding to this and said that when a person is doing research they wouldn't lose everything. If this happened, they would have a backup. They would have this information. Not being a computer geek myself, I have to take the word of people who know these things. But when I was driving down to Lincoln Saturday, I was on one of the radio stations, 590 on the AM dial. They had a person talking about computers and he was