

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2003 LB 626

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Quandahl, followed by Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I, too, stand and compliment Senator Preister, Senator Schimek, in trying to amalgamate all of the different...I know you're getting it from all different directions on this too. But I thought I'd stand up and just give kind of a specific example. The Department of Insurance, at certain times, does need to utilize outside contracts, particularly in situations where they have to go in and take over, put an insurance company into receivership. That's usually done in...I don't want to say a surreptitious, but fairly...it has to be done quickly, it has to be done expeditiously, in order that they maintain the safety and the soundness of the policyholders there too, to make sure that their claims get paid. And so there was some concern about the white copy, the amendment that we're soon to vote down here quickly. But I understand that with the green copy going forward, that is something that I believe that everybody can work with. And again, I appreciate the willingness of Senator Preister and Senator Schimek to work with that going forward on Select File. So thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Quandahl. Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. As most of you probably recognize, I'm one of the persons who voted against this bill when it was in committee. And I guess I just need a little clarification. First of all, I wanted to make sure that everybody understood...would understand...and I don't know if there's a misunderstanding or not. But when contracts are let, they don't just let a contract that somebody brings in, and sign it, and send them out to work. They're scrutinized, they're gone over under the Executive Order from the Governor, and things are not just run willy-nilly. To have more oversight, I don't object to that. I think that's a good idea. The question is, how much oversight, and how much is the cost going to be, and will the cost offset...the savings offset the amount of money that's spent for this kind of oversight? So I guess it's up to everybody's choice what they want to do on a