

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 28, 2003      LB 439

suppose. But here's the thought I'm trying to formulate, or what I'm trying to sort through. The State Patrol is a very high level of security, of course. And one might justify, and I think I would justify, the protection of this building and the people in this building at that level, because of the highly symbolic nature of this building and of the Chief Justice and the court and the Governor and the high officials. But when it comes to the protection, let's say, of the State Office Building, how is it that we will explain to the public that we ought to take their money to protect state employees at work at a level considerably above what they experience in their own offices? I mean, most people work in places that not only do not have the State Patrol protecting them, they don't have security protecting them. And yet we're going to take their tax money and protect other workers in society at this very high level, and for what reason? I would invite you, Senator Hudkins, when your time comes, to maybe reflect on that. And maybe there's a good answer. But for myself, at least right now, I would think that this level of security should be limited to the Capitol building, and that we ought to think carefully about what it is we choose to protect at the expense of protecting other private persons and facilities. That's all.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. Senator Friend.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, a couple of points that I wanted to make as to how this debate is going. Senator Quandahl had made a good point earlier. If the management or the approach used here is to make changes, you know, that are effective, like I said, from a management standpoint, I'm all for that. I've always been under the assumption that if the State Patrol...if you take a management group and you, you know, inject that management group into a situation, the group that is working for that, you know, particular entity could become, if they are efficient, could become more efficient as well. So I think from a high level and from a general standpoint, we're talking about a positive thing. Senator Chambers, you're not at war. I understand that. I am. We talk about brothers and sisters on this floor. We talk about all of our brothers and sisters throughout this nation. You struck a chord with me. Yeah. And I'll tell you to your face,