

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 28, 2003      LB 439

I guess as a more general matter, if the intent is for them to take over security in the Capitol building and on the grounds, I would feel more comfortable just saying that, rather than saying.. making it broader and then having some exemptions but not explicitly identifying what they would take control of. Because I guess I don't feel that it's necessary or appropriate, necessarily, that we have the professional level of the State Patrol, that that's needed for all state buildings of any type. I mean, I think this place is unique in that its symbolic value makes it perhaps more susceptible to terroristic kinds of concerns. And I assume we are talking about terrorism here, primarily. Would you have any objection just to limiting this to the Capitol Building and the grounds?

SENATOR HUDKINS: I don't believe that I would, because, like you said, we listed the exclusions. And that was the way we put it to where we actually wanted it.

SENATOR BEUTLER: You'd at least be open to that?

SENATOR HUDKINS: Sure. I would.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Next question. And I wanted to explore a little further something I thought you said to Senator Wehrbein. But what happens to all of the 21 security officers that are presently on staff?

SENATOR HUDKINS: They stay right where they are. They just report to a different authority.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, then all of this lack of authority that they had before, are they still lacking that authority?

SENATOR HUDKINS: No, because...well, yes and no.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I mean, they don't have the training and they're not...

SENATOR HUDKINS: They don't...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...peace officers, as such, right?