

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2003 LB 208

SENATOR KRUSE: Thank you, Mr. President and members. Yes, I will close on this, and this will be the last I have to say closing on...from here on out. So to sort of summarize where we're at, first, this particular amendment is the one that strikes the section on page 14 and we've talked quite a bit about that. And it's been a helpful discussion. I want to say thank you to Senator Chambers. And that's not a gracious statement; that is a heartfelt statement, because alcohol-impaired drivers are causing us a tremendous amount of grief, and I want this to be done right. Senator Chambers said that this would be a poor thing to prosecute, to prosecute a person who is driving...simply driving with a felony, provide them with a felony. That's not the point of that section, which I remind you again in current statute. The point of it is the threat to a person who has been convicted multiple times that they have to change their behavior. The other comments relating to what is going on, Senator Hartnett asked about treatment. That is provided in the bill. That is expected within the bill, assessment on any DUI conviction, and the judge is to do the...to look at the assessment and order it. In terms of the aggravated DUI, the treatment is mandatory, since the person has gotten past the point of taking this on themselves. In all of this, I'm kind of ready to accept Senator Dwite Pedersen's offer of therapy. We probably need therapy all the way across the board here. In summary, I see the first offense as being up to the person. That's been stated, so I am not going to say it again. The person wakes up on Monday morning and says this is not what I want to be doing, not what I'm going to do. The second, third and fourth offense, though, is up to us. I think that those of us who have been speaking to this have similar positions. One other thing that I would correct, the impression, because of specific questions asked me, about a specific penalty is that we have been looking only at other states. This is very unusual. We picked out the ones where we did a comparison, but we didn't begin with this. Folks, this is a Nebraska product. This was an interim study of our Transportation Committee. This was input from many citizens. This was letters and e-mails about what they felt would be effective. This is a concerted effort to do what would be helpful to relieve us of the problems that we have with those who continue to offend in DUI. And, finally, I hope that this