

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2003      LB 208

don't like to begin with, but the whole philosophy behind them, Senator Kruse, is that it enables an offender to legally operate their motor vehicle during a period of suspension or impoundment. And what your handout here is saying is that no longer, that an ignition interlock device cannot be introduced into the process until the impoundment period is completed. Help me understand, if a person's impoundment period is done, what would be the purpose of at that point an ignition interlock device, if you have that available?

SENATOR KRUSE: Yes, I do.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR KRUSE: Part of the confusion is from various courts, because some courts let persons drive on an interlock without a license, and you can't do that. It just says you have to have a license. If the court says you are to drive during an impoundment period with an interlock system, then they are to give you a provisional license, but you're to have a license. At the present, some courts simply instruct a person to use an interlock. They have no license. Then they set them free. When a court says that, DMV is pretty hard-pressed to make sense of it. It's a confusion of definitions again.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Senator Erdman, on the Kruse amendment.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Mr. President, I would yield my time to Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, you have five minutes more.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. To whom do I owe this...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Erdman.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...oh, thank you, Senator Erdman. Members of