

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2003      LB 208

times do not know what the difference is between an impoundment...an impoundment, as I understand it, is a court action within a probation order, and a revocation can be done by the court but is also done by the Department of Motor Vehicles who "revoke" a person's license. Could you expand, you know, very briefly, Senator Kruse, on how your bill attempts to clear up these problems that we're experiencing in this in terms of the confusion associated with the difference between an impoundment period and a revocation period? How does it clear that section up?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kruse, would you respond?

SENATOR KRUSE: Yes, thank you. Yes, I can respond to that. This is the...this section or these changes are the work of DMV, because of the confusion you have well described. And the definition that they wish to go with and makes sense to us is, a suspension is a DMV action, that a revocation is a court action. That a suspension doesn't necessarily remove the license. They try to remove the license, but don't always. So the physical license, sometimes a person is carrying a suspended license, but it's...there is no mark on it. There is no evidence of that. Whereas, a revocation, they don't have the license.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Are we removing the definition of impoundment, then, from these statutes?

SENATOR KRUSE: Well, it's still in there in a few places in terms of probation, but that's not in the lang...that's not anyplace in this bill. Pardon me, sir, I am being reminded that impoundment is added at a couple of places along in the bill.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: I hope, Senator Kruse, that your bill does what is intended in this handout, that it clears up some of the confusion, because there is an enormous amount of confusion and it's all definitional problems I think for the most part. It says here that, on this handout, clarifies that an individual whose license is revoked or impounded is not entitled to a vehicle interlock until his or her period of revocation or impoundment is completed. Again, it's my understanding that the whole purpose behind these ignition interlock devices, which I