

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2003 LB 208

thing this person is charged with is driving without a license, we will convict that person of a Class IV felony and he or she could get up to five years in the penitentiary and a \$10,000 fine, just for driving without a license. I think all laws should be obeyed. Everybody believes that. Police officers believe it. Prosecutors believe it. Judges believe it. But all of those categories of persons whose job it is to enforce the law will tell you that not every law can be enforced, not every law is going to be enforced. An officer is not going to arrest a person every time they see that person violate a law. Every speeding violation is not going to result in a person being stopped. Driving through a stop sign or a red light is not always going to result in an arrest. Because officers are not going to make an arrest in every case. Prosecutors, because of trying to efficiently use the prosecutor's time and the court's resources, is not going to carry one of these arrests to a trial. So what is being offered here is very reasonable. It was unreasonable to make that a Class IV felony. And I know that people are angry with drunk drivers. But this...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...particular thing we're talking about has nothing whatsoever to do with driving drunk. It says if you're caught driving without a license. If this language is gone, you get six months just like anybody else who had lost a license. And you can lose your license for running over somebody and leaving the scene of an accident. But you...and then if you're caught driving without a license, you don't get more than six months. The person who drove drunk may not have caused any property or personal damage to anybody. So there are ways to lose your driver's license that make you a great danger, apparently. But you still get that same uniform punishment that is across the board for everybody, except in this case. And this is not a compelling exception, in my opinion. And I think Senator Kruse's amendment, with which I agree...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...wholeheartedly, should be adopted. Thank you, Mr. President.