

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 26, 2003 LB 117

around child support. I believe that issues in terms of the technical banking piece have been taken care of. Initially, some of the issues had to do with the transmittal of information with bringing everything up at once. But in this situation, we are talking not about having to transmit information from a different branch of government, from a different level of government. We're talking about something that is going to be in hand. And so it's just...what we're talking about here is purely a banking function. And I have absolutely no qualms about what we're doing from a technical banking function right now in child support. As I said before, the state was able to take back, recapture, \$600,000 of General Fund that we had allocated to that office. She lost \$1.2 million in matching federal funds because of that, just because our centralized child support, from a banking standpoint, operates at one-fifth of what any other state operates at. And we are doing it all in-house in the state. That's the other piece that I think is important, if you...that we are doing this function within state government. We are not privatizing it. And I think that that is a big sales point. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Brown. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. I rise in support of the bill. And I hope to share a bit of my perspective of the process here. And having heard the bill in committee...and Senator Schimek is right, it was quite interesting. Those purporting to be neutral on the bill didn't really sound that neutral. But what I rise to emphasize right now is the fact that this is not the original bill. And constantly, I'm out in public in the district, and I hear, how can government be more efficient? And this is exactly the way government can become more efficient. I think there are some dollar figures that have been thrown out here that don't...I mean, they're a bit of a red herring in light of the economic times we have and the budget issues that we face. And there's no General Fund impact here, as I understand it. And I hope that we can do something, and that for the sake of efficiency in government, and look at the real issue here. In fact, the bill is scaled down so much, there's speculation that it won't even have much of an impact at all. But I think we need to give