

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 26, 2003 LB 117

And I said so at the committee hearing. But I still have some very deep concerns about this bill. And I feel like it is my responsibility to tell you what those concerns are. First of all, the agencies came in in huge numbers regarding this bill. Now, if you'll look at your committee statement, you'll notice that a lot of them came in neutral. Well, if you had been at the hearing, you would have realized that they weren't neutral; they were very much opposed to the bill. And I even said something when the University of Nebraska got up to testify, they were really the only one that was in a neutral capacity, and I complimented them for being neutral. The agencies came in in hordes. We also had a number of communications afterwards from different agencies that weren't listed on here. That's not a good enough reason, though, for being concerned about this bill, because they were coming in on the original bill. And what Senator Brown and I talked about during the course of time was the fact that maybe we should start out with a pilot project and see how it went. And then you could evaluate it, have some criteria, some standards, so forth, and decide whether you wanted to carry on or not. I don't think this bill, this amendment which becomes the bill, gets us there yet. And I'll tell you why I think so. If you will look at page 9 of the amendment, it says that during the demonstration project the Treasurer shall coordinate meetings with all state agencies other than the courts and quasijudicial agencies, whatever the quasijudicial agencies are, to determine the feasibility of permanent implementation of the centralized system. On a date determined by the Treasurer, all state agencies, all state agencies, shall submit to the Treasurer a report detailing specific configuration, costs, and operational issues that would arise with permanent implementation of the centralized system. Then on September 1 of 2004, the Treasurer shall report to the Legislature and the Governor regarding the feasibility of permanent implementation of the centralized system. So the Treasurer is the one that makes the report on September 1, 2004. Fifteen days later, a half a million dollars transfers into the fund for a centralization project. And I told the committee, what concerned me is I don't think that the Legislature has enough oversight over this process. What are the standards? What are the criteria? Does a report being made justify the project, or should we have some say in it at some point? Do we