

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 26, 2003 LB 117

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: So it really is larger number and larger dollars is more attractive than small...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you.

SENATOR BROWN: Right. Thank you.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein, Senator Brown. Senator Connealy.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. I too signed onto this bill. But I have some concerns also. It seems to me that there's...start up with \$200,000, and another \$500,000, and another \$100,000 down the line. At this time, for a demonstration project, I think this is just too much money to spend on something that the largest agency, the one that may work with this, is really resisting. The Department of Revenue has said that this is...they have all the equipment, they do this process on an ongoing basis now. They don't need anyone else to do it for them. And besides that, they have the confidentiality and the security that is in place. I have some concerns that it won't work for...that this is too much money to spend for something that may work or may not work, and that in these budget times, to do an \$800,000 test I think is probably outside of what we can handle. So I'm...even though I'm interested in the process, interested in speeding up collection, I'm worried that no one testified in support of it, of the bill. No agency is asking for this. And some agencies have stated that they will not participate, and that they're doing it already. I'm worried that we're spending too much money up-front on a process that may not work. And whether it comes out of the school fund or the fund of uncollected funds, it still is generally General Fund dollars, as...and we have to collect it and put it in the General Fund eventually. I'm worried that this is going to be too expensive.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Combs.