

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 26, 2003 LB 117

different level if I name the Governor and suggest that he is involved in activities that are supporting the terrorists. If I would suggest, no matter how obliquely, that the people who invest the state's money have an obligation to make sure that none of this money is invested in a company which the Attorney General of the United States or somebody else might say has had some vague association with or link to terrorism, that's a stretch. I am not that angry with the Governor. I am not that angry with the Investment Council. My anger goes to those who push buttons and send young people into harm's way, like the President of the United States. That's where my quarrel is and that's why I will say he is a terrorist, and it's why I say America is committing...

SPEAKER BRÖMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...war crimes, but I don't say the Investment Council or the Governor or the Treasurer or anybody on this floor. If this amendment is adopted, I think it puts a second subject into this bill. It has nothing whatsoever to do with the purposes of this bill. In a way, you can say it's cutting off or reducing or changing a type of statute of limitations. That has nothing to do with what this bill is about, but I'm not going to challenge it at all. But if you add it to the bill and I don't like the bill, I may challenge the bill, after you get through, on the basis of its having two subjects. And maybe I'm wrong, but maybe I'm right. So we'll just rock along and see what happens. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BRÖMM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Quandahl.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Thank you, Speaker Brömm. Members of the body, I heard Senator Chambers ask whether or not this particular amendment would be the same subject as the underlying bill, LB 117, and I did hear... I thought I heard Senator Chambers the other day saying that he was going to trust in the Office of the Attorney General to ask for an Opinion as to whether an amendment on another bill was germane, and I don't remember exactly what bill that was. And so I guess it's my estimation, for what it's worth as a legislator, that this would be an appropriate amendment to this bill. As to whether the