

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 26, 2003 LB 46

dealt with, what would you say that, in the normal circumstances, with not having a lot of high-risk, or a lot of mix, that you can adequately handle?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: From a...as we're speaking here, as you know, Senator Vrtiska, probation officers have dual responsibility: presentence investigation, and that's presentencing stage; and on the postsentencing stage, as a community supervision. And that's, in essence, is what we're discussing here. As far as numbers, if it has a good mix of maximum, medium, and minimum supervision, I would suspect that a probation officer could competently supervise, as far as a number, of about 190 to 200 people.

SENATOR VRTISKA: So in the past, I guess, taking what you said, in the past, and I think it's been pretty well demonstrated, most probation officers have had a difficult time handling the caseloads they've had to carry, because I've talked to some probation officers who are almost at odd ends trying to keep up with the work load that they're putting before them. Which demonstrates to me that the only answer, probably, with bringing out probably some more high-risk people, that we're probably going to have to increase those numbers. Doesn't that seem to...logical?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: It is entirely logical to me. But as you know, with our budgetary situation, we're looking at just the opposite with both departments, Parole, under the Department of Corrections, and...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...Probation, under the Supreme Court.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Bromm, members of the Legislature, I wanted to have an opportunity before lunch to ask Senator Brashear some additional questions on other sections of the