

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 26, 2003 LB 46

was to find the direction that our prison system was going in regard to higher education. We...everywhere I go, I like to brag about the quality of the Nebraska people; that I think that we have perhaps the finest people on the face of the earth in this state. Now, if that's true, why do we have to lock up so many of them without parole and other services like this? One of the most discouraging things that I saw originally was this; is that higher education last summer was asked to take the biggest hit. Correction services weren't asked to take a hit at all. This year, higher education loses \$130 million; Corrections continues to go up. We're going in the wrong direction. I am highly encouraged by what Senator Brashear and other of the senior members of this body are undertaking. This is the direction that we need to go. I rise for one other reason this morning and that's this; let's talk about some good news. We have had one birthday announced this morning. There is another. UNK is 100 years old today. It is interesting that we talked about \$70 million for a new prison and all the expense. UNK was tickled to death to get a new education building at a fraction of that cost. At noon today, the Governor will have a proclamation in the Rotunda honoring this 100th anniversary and Chancellor Kristensen will have a luncheon at the Cornhusker at noon and you're all invited to that. But, Senator Brashear and other members that had been working on this, I compliment you and look forward to hearing the rest of the story, as they say. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Johnson. Senator Brashear waives. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, there are some provisions in this bill that, to be quite frank about it, I don't like. Were these provisions not a part of this bill, I would fight against them very hard, as I've done in the past. One is the fee charged to people on probation. The other is the fee charged to people on parole. The reason those fees are charged is not because it's going to increase the likelihood that a person will be successful on parole or on probation, but to create a fund, to raise revenue. In the same way that I traditionally oppose increases in court costs to raise revenue, I have opposed all of these efforts to generate