

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2003 LB 667

believe, myself, in notification. So I'm not going to ask the question in the form I started. How would it harm anything that the bill is aiming to do if notification of the possibility of a substitution were required to be given to the person who is making the purchase from the pharmacist?

SENATOR JENSEN: I don't think that would harm the bill and I think it could improve the bill. I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, and I'm not offering an amendment...

SENATOR JENSEN: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because I think all of these kind of things can be dealt with. That's all I will ask you. Members of the Legislature, going back to our process and, again, I don't blame Senator Jensen. I don't blame anybody who has a bill connected to this one. But what you're seeing here is a number of bills, no one of which may have been prioritized.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Several of them definitely would not have been prioritized, but in this fashion, you allow several bills to be given priority status and jumped above everybody else's bill. These committee bills are being heard even before some senators' individual priority bills. So it's something that needs to be given consideration. Because I don't have great objection to any one of these that is being given a free ride does not mean in the future if one of these prioritized bills comes out here with a lot of bills with which I disagree, then I'm not going to go after them and try to strip each one away as an amendment to the committee amendment because that's the form it will take. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, there are no further lights on. You're recognized to close on...if you care to?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I think I'd better close so people will know what this amendment is. It simply substitutes the word "who,"