

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2003 LB 667

Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the reason Senator Bourne didn't understand what I said when I was discussing the bill with Senator Jensen is that he wasn't paying attention. And the reason he didn't know that he had that sheet of paper on his desk was not because my colleague, Senator Synowiecki, absconded. It's because Senator Bourne doesn't pay attention to the paper that is on his desk either. Then three strikes and you're out. He...this is on Senator Jensen. Senator Bourne asked Senator Jensen are there...had there been any bad actors in Nebraska, and Senator Jensen said, no. I beg to differ. Although I like some of the roles he played, I think Henry Fonda is one of the worst actors who ever appeared on the screen in Hollywood or on television. So between Senator Bourne and Senator Jensen, we have three strikes. But, Senator Jensen, I have something of a serious nature that I want to ask you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Jensen, would you yield?

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: When we're talking about these equivalent drugs, will you turn to page 12 with me.

SENATOR JENSEN: I have it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, now beginning in line 1, and I'm not going to read the preliminary language because what I'm interested in can be picked up. Starting in subdivision (d): for which the federal Food and Drug Administration has established bioequivalent standards or has determined that no bioequivalence problems exist. I'm trying to figure out what it means when it says, has determined that no bioequivalence problems exist. What is there that establishes this? A document by the Food and Drug Administration that says this? Or just what form does this information take so that we know what this language is referring to, and we know when that set of circumstances exists?