

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2003      LB 667

SENATOR BEUTLER:      Okay.      Thank you very much.      Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM:      Thank you, Senator Beutler.      I see no further lights on.      Senator Jensen, you're recognized to close on the amendment, AM0896 to AM0821.

SENATOR JENSEN:      Thank you, Mr. Speaker.      The amendment is strictly a technical amendment.      We inadvertently left off underlining and just one mention of one word in there, the phrase "if any."      That's all it did.      I would just ask for adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BROMM:      Thank you, Senator Jensen.      You've heard the closing.      The question is the adoption of AM0896, an amendment to AM0821.      All in favor vote aye, opposed nay.      Please record.

CLERK:      28 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Jensen's amendment to his amendment.

SPEAKER BROMM:      The amendment is adopted.      Next amendment, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK:      Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend with FA1209.      (Legislative Journal page 1030.)

SPEAKER BROMM:      Senator Chambers, to open on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:      Thank you.      Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is one of...this is what I had mentioned earlier as being one of those grammatical items that I would like to deal with in order to put my troubled mind at ease.      On page 10, in line 24, after "person" I would strike the word "that" and insert "who."      So it would read "any person who acquires" rather than "any person that acquires."      That's the amendment, and I'm going to discuss something else because I don't think it's difficult to understand that amendment.      But if anybody would have questions, I'd be more than willing to answer them.      I want to go to another part of the bill that may again seem to be nitpicking, but I didn't draft the language, and I