

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2003      LB 667

that are...that have exactly the same active chemical ingredients in exactly the same strength and quantity, and it seems to me that bioequivalent is saying that these two items are the same. So why not require the...exactly the same dosage form of the identical active ingredients because "identical" is there. So that would be like exactly, but we're not talking about the same dosage form being exact, and when we're talking about consistent from lot to lot, it need not be exactly consistent. Here is my question, why would we not say...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...exactly the same dosage form?

SENATOR JENSEN: Senator, that is a good question. This is language that the pharmaceutical group brought to us. I would certainly be glad to get back to them and to see if I can determine if that is the language that needs to be there...exactly. (Laugh)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And you understand why I would ask. It's...

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...based on them having put "exactly" twice when we're talking about the generic names.

SENATOR JENSEN: I do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Thank you, Mr. President, I mean, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Byars, I just had one question on your bill, and I thought I'd ask you directly. On page 14 of the bill, it talks about when a pharmacist may drug product select, and as I was reading through the different conditions, the question that came to my mind was basically this. As I am reading this, the pharmacist can do that basically unless the