

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 20, 2003      LB 608

incentives under our program should intentionally or habitually violate our laws regarding the environment or health or safety or labor, or laws of the United States, and that that violation is significant and results in damage, material damage or significant damage to the environment or any of our citizens or persons, then that would trigger a recapture or disallowance of the credits that are allowed under the Employment and Investment Growth Act, also known as LB 775. The Department of Revenue would take action in the event of a determination by them that a violation has occurred and that the violation is habitual or intentional and it has resulted in significant or material damage, and it also, I would like to emphasize the last sentence of the amendment, "The determination of whether a violation is habitual or intentional and results in significant and material damage shall be made by the Department of Revenue in consultation with other applicable regulatory agencies." So they would solicit the input from our DEQ, or from our Department of Labor, or whichever agency or department would be most intricately familiar with the violation. I'd also like to tell the Revenue Chair, Senator Landis, that I appreciate him being willing to consider this idea because I know that it wasn't...the Revenue Committee didn't come out with this amendment or suggestion of theirs lightly, that they knew that it would be a difficult suggestion and would be quite controversial. So I thank him for indicating that he would support the amendment. I also thank the committee for bringing this suggestion out of committee because without that happening we would not have been able to get to this idea of making this a condition of our LB 775 contracts. And, lastly, Senator Erdman went into some detail on a letter that he passed out, Family Quality Pork Producers, and that is the entity that I alluded to in one of my...either my opening statement or one of the statements that I have given on this amendment, because that type of organization is, in my view, the future of any...any future that we have in having medium-sized or smaller livestock producers in this state be able to make a go of it. They have to organize in a manner such as Family Quality Pork Producers and market their product and process their product, and we would not want to discourage, in my view, that kind of entity from having an opportunity. That's exactly what our tax incentive program ought to be all about, particularly in the rural areas.