

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 20, 2003 LB 608

like in a sub S corporation, passing through the taxpayer, if that individual habitually or intentionally, as in smoking, violates any environmental, health, safety, or labor law of the state of Nebraska, like "don't smoke in a restaurant," and the violation results in significant and material damage to the environment or any person, and you can prove it, then I think we'd have litigation that would be good only for the lawyers as to whether or not recapture might apply. I again want to say, I'm in favor of the concept. I simply think we have to work with some of the concepts, because the individual members of an LLC are a taxpayer, and a taxpayer here...it doesn't say when you violate environmental...the laws with regard to the entity. I think it was your intent that this would be...the applicant entity for LB 775 incentives would have to operate the entity in accordance with all of these laws. Isn't that where you were headed, Senator Bromm?

SPEAKER BROMM: Yes, Senator Brashear. And that was pretty funny, but... (Laughter)

SENATOR BRASHEAR: But you didn't laugh.

SPEAKER BROMM: (Laugh) But I dovetailed into the language that precedes that in the...in our code, which talks about other aspects of the Employment and Investment Growth Act, which talks about, if a taxpayer fails to do this, you know, maintain levels of employment and that sort of thing. So, yes, I agree, it needs work. But that's...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: And you had said that, and I want to acknowledge that.

SPEAKER BROMM: Yeah. Yes.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I just think...I think it's important to be in support of the concept, but acknowledge that you have acknowledged that it needs additional work. And we have to decide whether we adopt it and take it over and work on it,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.