

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 20, 2003 LB 608

saying, you're just ineligible because we think you're bad actors, but apply this to all industries across the board, whether it be some other type of manufacturing facility, whether it be a telecommunications company...it's entirely different type of business, of course, than your packinghouse, but I don't care what the business is. What I do care about is, if they flaunt our laws, if they flaunt our labor laws, if they flaunt our environmental laws, and they thumb their nose at us, and they get caught and they get convicted, then there ought to be ramifications under this act. I've always thought that something like that ought to be in that act, or any tax incentive act. We try to help them; we expect them to be good citizens. We expect them to comply with...in substance, the rest of our laws. Now, the devil is in the details, and I'm sure that there will be those who can pick this apart and point out problems with it. How are you going to know, how are you going to be sure that this is significant and material damage? I'm willing to work with those questions, and help find an acceptable solution here. But I would suggest that on this particular section, rather than just simply denying the Revenue Committee's suggestion, and rather than simply accepting the business community's suggestion that we put packinghouses back in as they were before, I'm suggesting that we do this measure to apply to packinghouses and all other areas of business that see fit to apply for and receive our tax incentive programs. So I hope that's an explanation. I'm sorry there isn't more notice to the committee and Senator Brashear and others, but sometimes these things develop as you listen to debate. And so I offer this for your consideration. Thank you. Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. You've heard the opening on AM0924, which is an amendment to FA1206, which is part of the third component of the divided committee amendments. We will now go for discussion. (Visitor introduced.) Now open for discussion on the Bromm amendment to FA1206. Senator Landis, followed by ten others.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I will restate, I think, a theme that I want to make with respect to this section. I will let the body ruminate on Senator Bromm's amendment. I know what it is to try to draft language