

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 19, 2003 LB 608

conversation, I believe that Senator Landis and I are on the same side. I'm not disinclined to Senator Beutler's objective, but I'm certainly opposed to the methodology. I'm like all the rest of you. I'm trying to get on top of this amendment. And the first thing that occurs to me is that if you take this amendment in total context, it not only applies to LB 775 information. It applies to anything. I don't want the Program Evaluation Committee to be able to get a copy of Senator Beutler's tax return from the Tax Commissioner. Senator Schimek's is all right, but not Senator Beutler's. There is no limitation here. We are back to any information, even confidential information in the Department of Revenue, the Program Evaluation Committee can have. Now we've got a great Program Evaluation Committee, but they're term limited. Then who is it going to be and what are they going to do with it? I'm sorry. I understand that Senator Beutler wants to do policy analysis. I just don't think this has any restriction at all. Therefore, I am going to oppose the amendment until I can be satisfied. He at one point in his comments indicated that he didn't necessarily want the company name or the company specifics. Well, then don't make...we're back to the any and all information, including his tax return. I don't think we want to go there. I don't think this is...I think the intent of the amendment I understand and it's good, I know it is, but the specificity with which it's drawn is not sufficient. I'm opposed to the floor amendment and I would urge that we adopt the division as it has been amended. I thank Senator Landis again for the opportunity to participate at this juncture and we'll see where the debate goes. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Brashear. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the body, and happy birthday, Mr. Speaker. I am rising in support of the Beutler amendment and I do so because I believe to do so is being consistent, and to not do so is being inconsistent with our policy regarding program evaluation or program auditing. And I think that we do need these tools, that we do need to be able to find a way to examine our policy, and so far I'm not convinced that anything else that's being suggested here on the floor is going to pass or is going to work, and maybe I'm wrong.