

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 19, 2003 LB 608

Revenue...or, the current committee amendment is a much better point from which to begin those adjustments than is this amendment. If we need to refine and further restrict the amount of information that could come from the Revenue Department to the Fiscal Office to work on the models, then I would certainly be interested in talking about that. But I certainly don't want to give up the notion that the real import here is for the Legislative Fiscal Office to have the information it needs to effectively advise this body on what it should do, what it shouldn't do, in regard to business tax incentives. Senator Brashear also complained about project by project analysis. I will tell you that we have models in the Fiscal Office that involve both economy-wide analyses and project by project analyses, or groups of companies, if you will. We need both. Both are part of an effective arsenal, if you will, of tools of economic analysis. I will tell you that dealing with a specific project is not new to this body when it comes to business tax incentives. I would remind you of the Caterpillar project. I would remind you of the Union Pacific project. Those were, in effect, specific companies. We needed to do analysis with those. On the latter, I'll just quickly mention, Senator Brashear seemed to indicate there was great problems with our TRAIN model. The results of that TRAIN model on the UP project were nearly identical with those developed by the UP and their modeling techniques themselves. It was, in effect, a verification of what we do. Indicates that we are clearly on the right track. What we need to do is to continue to improve these models. They can and certainly have been extremely useful in Revenue Committee discussions...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...about how o fashion these proposals. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Mines.

SENATOR MINES: Mr. Speaker, members, thank you for the opportunity. I think everyone in this body generally understands that LB 775 works. I've heard agreement on both sides of the issue, and I think we're all in one unified step.