

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 19, 2003 LB 608

projects and we know where the counties are and we know 59 of them haven't grown in a long time, it...we're not that big a state. And we aren't protecting the confidentiality. And we haven't narrowed this request. In creating this new culture, we haven't narrowed this request,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...so we're only getting what we need when we need it for our purposes. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brashear and Senator Brown. Senator Bromm, followed by Senator Brashear.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. I have listened to the debate so far. Excellent, excellent debate. But I have to confess, I do have some questions that I need to try to have cleared up with regard to this particular decision. I don't know where anyone else is at. For my part, I want what we do in this bill to be meaningful. I don't want it to be window dressing or any kind of an effort that is really not substantive. And I'm not suggesting that that's happening. I think either of these amendments, or proposals, are substantive. I'm trying to do my best to understand the differences in the effects. And in that respect, I'd like to use some of my time to ask some questions to either Senator Landis or Senator Brashear, both if I could. Senator Brashear, if you would yield to a question?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you yield, Senator Brashear, to a question?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Brashear, we've heard, starting a couple of years ago, about the TRAIN model and how useful it would be perhaps in analyzing our economic development efforts. Is your position that we should try to make use of that model in future policy making?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: My position is that that model needs to be