

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 19, 2003      LB 608

mischaracterized anything in any substantial way at all. We have...I would remind the body, we're on the second division. We're on the division of the question that deals with disclosure. We have advanced the first division, or adopted it. This second division, as Senator Landis indicates, kind of the central, simple summary issue is whether or not we will require this significant...I would call it significant body of information we require from LB 775 applicants to be given from the executive branch of government, namely and specifically the Department of Revenue, to the Legislative Fiscal Office, which is of course the legislative branch of government, for our use. The amendment, AM0879, strikes the disclosure of the information to the Legislative Fiscal Office. That's one significant part. Since this is introduction rather than argumentation, I will just stop with that and say it strikes that. The second portion of the amendment, AM0879, is where we have adopted all of that which the Revenue Committee would like to require in addition to that which we do now require. And I have added additional information that, yes, as Senator Landis indicates, comes from a gubernatorial initiative which I carried at his request, whereby we seek additional information with regard to wage and benefits. And that's the amendment, AM0879, that we are now debating. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brashear and Senator Landis. We will now start where we left off yesterday noon, with discussion of AM0879 to FA1205 to LB 608. The time will start over. We will start with Senator Landis. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: I'll just renew the point that I've been making, and then I'll let the debate continue. My general suggestion is that we probably are pretty clear in what we think. And my guess is, with six or eight speeches on the various topics, we could probably vote on this bill, these provisions, and perhaps wrap up our discussion of LB 608 this morning, and not take more time. However, the point I want to make is this. The data that we request in the committee amendment goes for a very good purpose. It goes for our ability to make a better computer model, which will in turn give us a better picture of what LB 775 and tax incentives do to our economy. It's valuable for us for our public policy. It