

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 18, 2003 LB 608

want to understand how my concerns about information, as I've recently developed them as Chair of the Program Evaluation Committee, I want to understand how the function of that committee and how the function of performance audit fits into this discussion of fair and open government versus confidentiality. I place first, foremost and highest the integrity of government and doing what is necessary to ensure that we have integrity of government, because until we do and as long as we do we will have the support of the people. And when we no longer have the support of the people, government just won't function correctly. So I think the interest of the people is not just an idle curiosity, as suggested by Senator Brashear, but they understand, as we should understand, that without specific information I doubt if we can ensure to them that government is, in fact, honest and noncorrupt and performing in accordance with the directives in the statutes. And I'm very interested in how Senator Redfield reacts to this and Senator Combs, because they have basically pushed for and put into other bills this idea of performance audit. And performance audit is a very broad term, and certainly one of the things that it means is that whatever a particular agency is doing it's in accordance with the statutes and the rules and regulations and that body of parameters that this Legislature put out for that agency. In this particular case, we have the Department of Revenue, and in this particular case we have sets of statutes which have to do with how the Department of Revenue will review applications, under what criteria they will grant applications, and so on and so forth. And the legitimate function of a performance audit, whether it's done by the State Auditor or whether it's done by the legislative branch, is to determine, so that people know, whether that agency, in this case the Department of Revenue, is properly dealing with and granting in accordance with our program these incentives to individuals and companies. That is a legitimate concern of government and we should stand up for it, and we should, in promoting that objective, at the same time keep as much confidentiality as is possible. But confidentiality should not stop a performance review of any program. So what I would ask Senator Landis and what I would ask Senator Brashear is to address that question, and I'll start with you, Senator Landis. Right now, under the law, could the Program Evaluation Committee go into the Department of