

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 18, 2003 LB 608

SENATOR THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the Legislature, I do want to ask Senator Landis a question. My light has been on for awhile so it's not exactly totally to this amendment to this section, but it has to do with this section. Some states treat their economic incentives as appropriations, and one of the surprises to me when I got on Appropriations was that we kind of don't have any idea how much money we're going to be using for this program in any given year, and this is one of the things the bill does not probably get to. But I am curious about, not only as your recent amendment does, showing the public how much money any individual company has, but will the ability of our Legislative Fiscal Office to have the information that's already at the Department of Revenue help us in any way to have any idea how much we owe and how much we can anticipate in our budgets?

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: I'll give you my best nontechnical response. It will improve it somewhat with respect to revenue forecasting, but it won't give us the certainty that many other states have because, in fact, they actually affirmatively appropriate money. Let me tell what...how it might improve. We make a guess about economic activity. We use the IMPLAN program to do that. The TRAIN program can help us as well. We make assumptions about multipliers, so if X occurs there's a multiplier effect of what's going to happen to the economy, and out of which what will the economy produce in the way of revenue given our system. That's one of the things that we get out of this. Our multipliers are assumptions. The more data we have like this, the more we can sharpen our multiplier effect thinking. Is it 2.4, 2.5, 2.7? With this data...actually with the previous amendment's data, the previous amendment's data we will be able to know better whether we've got the right multiplier or not. That multiplier will give us some data, but it will still be ambiguous. It will still be a range. It won't be...it won't approach the clarity that those states who affirmatively appropriate their incentive programs get when they do it. We are working post...post-tax filing. The companies tell us how much exemption they're entitled to and only then do we realize how much we have to send back, give them a credit or whatever,