

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 18, 2003      LB 608

my intent. I understand how you could derive that, but that's a little too general. I was simply saying I was supportive of eliminating LB 270 for the reasons that have been articulated by Senator Landis, because my view is the same as his in terms of it not creating jobs. But I am going to support the rural tier amendment, as it's called, which will be proposed with regard to division five, when we get there, because I want to do anything I can to support making...bringing LB 775 and all that it can do to our...to the rural portions of our state.

SENATOR MAXWELL: Okay. Maybe the more accurate way to phrase it would be, in your opinion, this other provision would adequately take the place of LB 270?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Not to split hairs, Senator Maxwell, but what I'm trying to do is not establish an equivalency, because I don't mean to be understood to say that one is equivalent to the other. I'm simply saying I think that's the better alternative for "incentivization" in our rural communities.

SENATOR MAXWELL: Okay. Thank you, Senator Brashear. As an Omaha senator who generally supports the LB 775 program, I want to make sure I'm not selling out on my rural colleagues. That's why I wanted to make sure how these things interplay as we progress. Actually, Senator Landis, if you'd like the balance of your time, you can have it back right now. Otherwise I'll yield. I don't know if...

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Landis. Declines. Senator Maxwell, are you conclu...

SENATOR MAXWELL: Well, in that case, then I'll call the question.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Maxwell, we'll have to do that on another...oh. Are you doing that on your light now? Your light is next. You wish to call the question?

SENATOR MAXWELL: Pursuant to a previous agreement, yes.

SPEAKER BROMM: Okay. The question has been called by Senator