

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2003      LB 209

driving record, the court can issue a stay. But if the stay isn't just granted by filing the appeal as it is under the current law, that's the discussion I hope we can have at some point, but...

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah. Well, I'm going to listen to, you know, the discussion and so forth.

SPEAKER BROMM: Certainly. Thank you.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah, thank you, Senator Bromm. And, Senator Chambers, I'd give you the rest of my time if you would so desire.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, you've about two minutes

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, I'm glad that Senator Hartnett asked the question because they may have paid...my colleagues may have paid attention when you heard a new voice. This language that I want to leave in the law was a part of the package when ALR was put in place. It was felt that this would give a measure of fairness and not allow just...cops just willy-nilly to take people's licenses. Now, when you have an appeal, the appeal is a matter of right. You have a right to appeal. This that LB 209 is doing does not take away the right to appeal. It still is there. But what those who want to remove the language that I want to put back in are interested in doing is making sure that somebody who is accused of drunk driving...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...is punished,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...whether he or she is actually guilty of it or not. This ALR hearing, as Senator Bromm pointed out, is not a criminal proceeding, which means you don't have to be proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. But you're punished as though