

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2003 LB 209

Beutler, and Hartnett. Senator Synowiecki, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Yeah, thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature. Senator Bourne, thank you for your step-by-step analysis with Senator Chambers. That truly was a help me help understand and...the situation with this. And, Senator Bromm, could you please yield?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you yield, Senator Bromm, to a question?

SPEAKER BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Bromm. The ALR process, as been mentioned, is an administrative procedure. It's not related to the criminal matter. So what we have basically is concurring simultaneous proceedings going on. Is that correct, Senator?

SPEAKER BROMM: That's right.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: What impact, if any, does the criminal proceeding have on the administrative? Let's say, for instance, as they're going through the administrative process a finding of not guilty is found in the criminal arena. Could you help me understand what impact that would have on any administrative proceedings?

SPEAKER BROMM: Under the current law, if the criminal proceeding is plea bargained, dismissed or if there's a finding of not guilty, the ALR proceeding is dismissed. Under the law, as this is proposed, those are two independent processes, and so the dismissal or plea bargaining of the criminal aspect would not automatically result in a dismissal of the ALR.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: So, conceivably, a defendant could be found not guilty in criminal court, but the administrative license revocation would proceed?

SPEAKER BROMM: That's correct.