

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2003 LB 209

talking about the privilege of driving, once again here. We're not talking about locking somebody up for a felony or for a violent offense. We're talking about operating a motor vehicle. The court said: we conclude that the state's interest in quickly and efficiently removing drunken drivers from the highways provides sufficient justification for deferring consideration of Fourth Amendment issues to a criminal DUI proceeding. The state's interest in public safety, the state's interest in public safety outweighs the temporary inconvenience of the driver who is deprived of his or her driving privileges during the time period between receipt of a final order from an ALR hearing and the resolution of a subsequent criminal DUI prosecution. The ALR hearing is sufficiently meaningful, pursuant to the balancing test of Mathews v. Eldridge, which is a United States Supreme Court case, to satisfy the constitutional due process. So what the court is saying is the state has an interest, has a significant interest, the public...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...has a significant interest in removing those who commit drunken driving and risk lives of many, many innocent people from the road. Now, granted, taking their license doesn't remove them from the road, but it certainly improves the chances of that being the case. And if they drive when their license is under suspension, of course, that's a very serious offense. My time is up, but I have filed an amendment following Senator Chambers' amendment, which I'll talk more about when I have time, but that is an amendment to address some of the concerns that he raised last week about the threshold that the appellant had to satisfy in order to get the revocation stay. And I think it is an offer of a compromise and I would hope that he would seriously consider that as a good faith offer. I cannot support removing this language that he proposes to remove in this amendment. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Chambers, on your amendment to LB 209.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Bromm, the distinguished gentleman from