

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2003      LB 209

commence at the time of final judgment of the court for the full period of the time of revocation." I want to put that language back into the law. It has been in the law from time immemorial. I can understand the approach of these groups whose only reason for being is to attack the practices and habits and customs of other people. I can understand that they have exhausted some areas where they were able to enter and justify their existence. But a fertile field for attack has always been and remains the area of driving while under the influence. Driving what, a hard bargain? No, that's all right. Driving people crazy? No, that's all right. Well, driving what? Driving a vehicle which is required to be licensed and which is governed in its operation and use by the Rules of the Road. So we're talking about driving a vehicle. Since I am a teetotaler, as people of my persuasion when it comes to alcohol are known, what difference should it make to me how people who are accused of drinking and driving are treated? Why should it make me any difference what the state does to people who are close enough to that kind of activity to be deemed guilty by association? It makes me a difference because I believe in a certain standard of justice. Justice is of no value if you extend it only to those that you like. I was unable to make it up here for the prayer this morning, not that I tried, but had I tried I wouldn't have been able to this morning because I was otherwise occupied. But there was a gentleman up there praying and invoking the name of Jesus. Am I right or wrong? If I was in the military they'd say, you're right, and I know that I'm right, because I heard part of what was being said. You know what Jesus told you all? What reward are you entitled to if you do good to those who do good to you? The worst of people do that. If you forgive those who are your good buddies and have forgiven you, that's not worthy of any particular note or recognition because everybody does that. The thing that will bring you credit is when you see that proper treatment is accorded to those you do not like, those for whom you may even have contempt. And because such a high standard is required in the application and administration of the law, great care should be taken in determining who will sit as a judge in judgment of others. That judge must be impartial. That judge is to recognize not persons but the law. And whether the person as a shabby and poor as a jaybird or is dressed as resplendently as our Chairperson of the Judiciary