

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2003 LB 209

and not just go through the mechanical procedure of finding \$81 and an attorney to file an appeal in order to get my license back, and then let it...and then let the criminal case sit for six months and maybe even longer and I continue to drive as if nothing happened, because that's what the public is fed up with and that's what I think we have an obligation to try to change and to protect...provide more protection than that. So the point is well taken, but I simply believe that the interests of the public come down on the other side of this thing. Would Senator Chambers yield to a question?

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you yield, Senator Chambers, to a question?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Chambers, you feel that the present process of simply going through the mechanics of filing an appeal, even...you don't even have to have an attorney to do that but in most cases you do, that that should just reinstate your license until this whole thing blows over without any showing whatsoever? Do you think that's the right process in this case?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm, that's the law now...

SPEAKER BROMM: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and I think the law ought to remain as it is unless a compelling reason is given to change it. And based on what you said, a person would think that commonsense could prevail and a judge could consider what you're talking about--a first offense, you need to go to work, you're not a threat to the public. But your bill mandates...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that relief be granted pursuant to 84-917, and that statute does not allow what you're talking about to be