

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2003 LB 209

stay that revocation. Is that what you all want? You all may want it, but you're not going to get it if I can stop you. And this has no reflection intended on Senator Bromm. This is the kind of thing prosecutors always try to bring, and when I'm no longer here, thanks to term limits, this kind of stuff will go through without a question being raised. But as long as I'm here, I will fight it, and this is one of those bills that I'm prepared to go to the mat on. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT HEINEMAN: Thank you, Senator Chambers. The Chair would recognize Senator Bromm.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor. Before I respond, I would ask Senator Connealy if he needs any more time. He does not. I understand what Senator Chambers is saying, but let's also keep in mind that we're not talking about a criminal proceeding. We're talking about a civil proceeding. And the right to have a license is a privilege granted in our state to those who qualify and can maintain their qualifications to drive, and it's not a right. Now I would say that Senator Chambers is suggesting that, even if you have had five prior offenses in the last two years and you're pulled over and you test .25 and your license is taken on an automatic revocation, that you go get an attorney and you simply file an appeal, which costs \$81 of filing fees if you have the money, if you don't have the money you can do it for nothing, and that stops the license revocation in its tracks. Now tell me that's fair when you're talking about the deaths and destruction and injuries that come about as a result of DUIs and the injuries that that creates and the hardships that creates. And I would suggest that the license should be given back and stayed sparingly, and that if I go to the...if I can go to the court and show that this was either an illegal stop and...or that the procedures were not followed properly, and that without the stay of the court that I will not be able to get to my job and support my family, and that granting me this relief will not harm others because I haven't had three or four other DUI convictions and I don't have a record of an alcohol problem, so therefore the threat to the public is not great by issuing a stay, I think most of the judges in this state are going to consider that seriously. But I'm going to have to jump through those hoops