

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2003 LB 209

chart that each of you have. The first page gives the current process of the administrative license revocation, and the second page gives what we believe the process would look like if LB 209 became law. But in way of background, you'll recall that last year we had a number of claims in Business and Labor Committee to ask that we pay the fees for county attorneys who were prosecuting or handling the hearings in some of the counties in the ALR process. Years ago, the Attorney General's Office handled more of those hearings, but as time went along and there were budget constraints on them, they asked the county attorneys to do it or kind of assigned it to them, and county attorneys felt like that really wasn't part of their duties and so they would ask, in some cases, for fees to be allotted, which in some courts that was done, and then the claims came to this...to the floor of the Legislature. And Senator Connealy and others tried to work with that and last year we had a great deal of discussion on the floor with Senator Wehrbein, and there was even thought of doing away with the whole process of the administrative license revocation; that it's just too unfair and it's too much of a mess that maybe it can't be fixed. And besides the claim problems that we had difficulties with, there was some indication, I believe, that it was perhaps being used differently in different parts of the state; that some county attorneys were using it as a big plea bargaining chip, and some people would never lose their license under the ALR process in some places, or very infrequently, and in other places it was being fairly strictly enforced, which also gave us heartburn. So at that time, at Senator Connealy and others' request, I think we sort of held...we sort of held tight and said, we know we've got a problem here; it's going to take us time to fix it; we can't fix it on the floor while we're dealing with claims. And so an interim study was directed and it was LR 353. And Department of Motor Vehicles led that study and I have a report here which is about half an inch thick which I'd be more than happy to share with any of you that would like to look at it, or we can get additional copies, but it's a very comprehensive analysis and look at the whole process of ALR. And just to, again, to go back to why we have ALR, the intent of ALR is to swiftly and almost immediately get the drunk driver out from behind the wheel for the protection and the safety...and safety of the public; that to prevent situation where they continue, if