

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 11, 2003 LB 619

Senator. Was that the end?

SPEAKER BROMM: Yes. Yes, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SPEAKER BROMM: The next light on is Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. Speaker, I would give my time to Senator Beutler.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Hartnett, thank you very much. If I could continue the dialogue with Senator Schrock, Mr. Speaker, I'd appreciate it. Let's say for examp...

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Let's say for example, Senator Schrock, that somebody bought a piece of land and put in a ground water well, and wanted to ship the water off to Colorado. And let's hypothesize that somehow we could construct things so that a huge amount of profit came back to that county as a result of the transfer of this water, and that...but that, as a result of the transfer, water levels would decline. And I assume if it came out of a ground water well that everybody would have to share the decline equally, under our current law. Would that be correct? But some...a few ground water...let's say five ground water users in the area would not have enough water to plant a crop. But the economic detriment to the community as a whole, by losing those five persons who don't plant crops, is much, much lower than the economic benefit to the community of selling that water to Colorado. Then it seems to me that this particular provision doesn't allow you to consider that equation. Would you agree or disagree?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Oh, I don't know that I totally agree with your scenario there. I think the director of the Department of Natural Resources can take some of these into consideration, for example, the nature of the proposal; use, of whether it's a