

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 11, 2003 LB 619

that. I don't think you can put it any other way. And now they're going to be required to put meters on, and they're upset about that, even if they get cost share. The problem is, if you go two miles north, you're in Kearney County. No controls, no meters, pump all the water you want, drill all the wells you want. And so...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time. Thank you, Senator Beutler and Senator Schrock. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to yield my time to Senator Beutler.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Schimek, thank you. And I, in turn, would yield some of Senator Schimek's time to Senator Schrock, so we so we can continue this dialogue, if that's appropriate, Mr. Chair.

SENATOR SCHROCK: And you know, Senator Johnson was down there with me. Maybe he wants to jump into this also. But when you have these imaginary lines...and they will tell you that county lines are not necessarily aquifer lines. But if you're in the Kearney County line, you can do all you want. You're not mandated to put meters. You're not...so when we mandate, the meters go on, and you're on the wrong side of the border, why, it becomes an issue of controversy. Let's put it that way. And some people are unhappy about it, but I don't know what to do about that. I commend the Governor, the former Attorney General's Office, and Roger Patterson for negotiating this settlement with the state of Kansas. I believe the basin is very supportive of the settlement; not everyone, of course. You'll never get everyone on board. But I think the basin, in general, is very supportive of the agreement. But you always have these fringe areas where people are unhappy and they don't like the situation. And so, you know, I would hope that some of this money could be used to...if meters are necessary, and we can't use some of the settlement money for that purpose, that maybe this would be a place for that. And I think, under LB...under this amendment, that could be used, so.