

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 11, 2003 LB 688

SENATOR FRIEND: Senator, the question that I have is, let's say...we've established the motive behind what we're trying to accomplish with moving in this direction, to try to get the NCAA to move on something. Let's say we achieve utopia. Where do you see the NCAA going after action like this had been taken?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The first thing I see them doing is saying that they're going to do something about this grant in aid. That's what they call it; not a scholarship. They will expand the boundaries of that grant in aid to allow the universities to...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...give more assistance to these players, and maybe even grant some pocket money too. So it will be by virtue of an NCAA rule that the change will occur, rather than through the terms of this piece of legislation. But the legislation will push them to liberalize the rules and increase the aid that a school can give. Right now, UNL would give more if the rules allowed, because they have it. But they can't give it. It's like money in the bank that cannot be spent.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you. Thank you, Senator. I guess the...I guess, with that in mind, the question that I would have is, do you see, now, with the amendment adopted, and we've expanded to other sports, I mean, I think it...the question that I would have then goes to the idea that...of that bottomless pit and the slippery slope. I mean,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Sorry, Senator Friend. Senator Brashear, followed by Senator Mines.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Mr. President, thank you. Members of the body, I rise in support of LB 688. I have listened, as we all have. Tried to be attentive to the significant dialogue that has taken place in the debate. I believe I understand where