

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 11, 2003 LB 688

activity.

SPEAKER BROMM: And again, I keep getting these e-mails and these letters that people are under the impression that we're doing something here that we shouldn't be doing when we have such budgetary constraints. And I just want to have you again say, for the thirteenth time, probably, where does...if this were to come to pass, where would the money have to come from?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The money would be entirely generated by the football team. The revenue generated by the football program would supply the money for these stipends, and there would be no tax money involved, nothing from the schools, the roads, or anyplace else.

SPEAKER BROMM: And none of that money, at the present time, goes in the support of general state functions or general university functions, does it?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No. The athletic department has its operational budget, or operating budget, and the football team brings in the money.

SPEAKER BROMM: Although they may pay...they may pay some things, such as extra...like, if they hire tutors, they may pay that out of the athletic budget now, or do you know for sure?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Anything that is an expense associated with the football program that is provided by the university would be paid for from the money generated by the football program.

SPEAKER BROMM: And if there's a loss in tennis or something like that, they cover that now with money coming out of the football program, I believe. Is that your understanding?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Because if you looked at the article that I handed around that was written in the Washington Times, they reviewed the records of the university that were submitted in connection with Title IX enforcement, and it pointed out that the women's sports lost six point something million dollars. That loss was absorbed within the revenue generated by the