

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 10, 2003 LB 688

student athlete. Have you ever asked yourself...and I was really interested in Senator Friend's perspective, because he said something that I wanted to ask this body. What's wrong with doing away with spring practice in football? Didn't used to be spring practice. The University of Michigan, University of Notre Dame, all those colleges in the 1920s and '30s, they brought loads, hundreds and thousands of people to the stadiums, but they didn't do a whole number of things, didn't create a whole number of pressures that we create today on the student athlete to do that. If you simply reduce the amount of time that all athletes uniformly spent in the sport, you'd be doing a great service to the athletes, and you're not going to hurt the money-making machine. We're still going to be just as interested in the University of Nebraska winning, even though, without spring practice, they may be slightly less polished, they may know a few fewer plays, they may not be in quite as good conditioning. What difference does it make? The degree of polish, you go out and you watch your high school teams, are you less enthusiastic about them because they are not as polished, not as professional? I don't think so. It seems to me that we can have, if you consider athletics as a money-making machine a good thing, we can have the best of both worlds. That is, we can respect our athletes as students by cutting down on the time requirements, cutting down on the demands of participation in these major sports, and at the same time keep the other rules in place. If we need to recruit to compete, fine. But once they get here, once they get to another university, don't make these overwhelming demands on them. Make uniform rules that give them a proper relationship to the university and to the campus's cultural and intellectual life, and to the idea that we all believe is a more correct idea of what a college education should be all about. So if you think, as I do, that this is not about giving them their fair cut of some big money-making machine...we can never give them their fair cut in that sense...and that what we should be trying to do is to put into a better perspective the use and the role of the student as athlete, then what we should be encouraging the NCAA presidents to do is to reduce the participation time by student athletes, and put it, again, back in the perspective that used to be there many years ago. The bowls can be filled, the competition can go on, everything can be as we like it. We would just have