

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 10, 2003 LB 688

in her closing remarks she says, I happened to read the article that Senator Abboud sent around, and I just want to pull out a little part and read it to you. It said, Nebraska state legislators are strictly out of their venue if they pass a bill to pay Cornhusker football players. Richard Schultz goes on to counsel us, I don't think state legislatures should be getting into that business. State government shouldn't intercede in situations like this. I don't know if you were as offended by that as I was, but you know, I got to thinking. We've dealt with low-level radioactive waste, we've dealt with the death penalty, we've dealt with the pickle cards... (laugh) some things never change... and we've dealt with economic development. But he counsels us that Nebraska state legislators are strictly out of their venue if they pass a bill to pay Cornhusker football players. Well, I'm sorry, but I'm going to step out of my venue, and I'm going to vote to reconsider, and I would urge you to do the same. And I am going to do the same, Senator Chambers. Thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I didn't intend to speak until a little later, because I'm interested in a different approach than what Senator Chambers would take, but which gets, to a large extent, to the same end. But I also wanted to talk a little bit about whether this is legislative business or not. And I feel very strongly that this is legislative business. These are, to some extent, our students, from our state, at our university. And notwithstanding the line drawn by Exon v. the Board of Education...or, the Board of Regents, this Legislature has involved itself time and time and time again with the question of how the university treats the students, and how monies budgeted by this Legislature, how those are used by our university system. And I don't think it's inappropriate at all for us to discuss this particular matter, especially when you consider that the NCAA should be the tail reacting to proper and well informed state policy, and that the tail shouldn't be wagging the dog. But the fact of the matter is, in this particular area, the tail does seem to be wagging this dog, and the dogs in other states. And I would remind you, as a