

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 10, 2003 LB 688

playing other...paying other athletes besides football players. If I understood, your response was that only the athletes who are generating the revenue should qualify for the stipend. Is that fair characterization?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's a general statement. But this bill applies only to the football players at this time, because they're the only ones generating money. But I also pointed out that I do not look for this bill to ever result, by its terms, in a stipend being paid to the players. I expect if it were enacted into law, the NCAA would take serious note and they would begin liberalizing their rules to increase the assistance to players, and no bill such as this would ever have to actually be acted on.

SENATOR MAXWELL: Okay. Could I take you down that road just one more step? And I'll yield the balance of my time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, as far as you want to carry me.

SENATOR MAXWELL: Well, just on this idea, you want to limit it to football players. So if somebody presented evidence that, well, basketball, and maybe even baseball now at Nebraska are turning a profit, so we should include them? But as I understand it, you want to limit your proposal just to the football players, because that's where the main action has been over the years.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: At this time. But if the NCAA begins to liberalize its rules, pressure can be brought to bear to embrace more than football, more than basketball. And the NCAA would be kicking some money in too. They might even talk to the NFL and try to get them to put something into the pot. So there are ways to get the money. But right now, the idea that players are entitled to anything is the issue that has to be surmounted.

SENATOR MAXWELL: Thank you. I'll yield the balance of my time to Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You have about a minute and a half, Senator Chambers. I'm sorry.