

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 10, 2003 LB 688

Title IX, football is treated differently when it comes to those grants in aid. That's what they call it, a grant in aid. A grant in aid is a maximum amount. There can only be one grant for one player in football. But you come to the women and certain other minor sports, Senator Stuhr, and each player does not get a full grant. They break that grant down into as many parts as they want to. And on some women's teams, it has been broken up into eight, ten, and maybe in some cases 16 parts. And who has challenged that on the basis of Title IX? Who has said the football player gets a full grant in aid, and these other athletes don't? Who even knew it? So now, for you to say these things indicates to me that people are feeding you things to oppose this bill. Why does not the NCAA state that there can be no breaking up of these grants in aids in women's sports, in the way there can be no breaking up of that grant in football? Because people are not informed enough about what the NCAA does. If Senator Stuhr had read you more of the chancellor's letter, you would see where he acknowledged that changes were made in NCAA rules as a result of my efforts. My efforts don't just consist of me trying to persuade them. They're not going to listen to me. They're not going to listen to anybody if all that is presented is conversation. My efforts consisted of bills enacted into law over the opposition of the NCAA and in some cases the opposition of the university. But once those bills became law, changes took place. I doubt that any stipend will ever be paid under this bill. So talking about Title IX, talking about other sports, is completely irrelevant. The idea is to put a catalyst out there. And that is in the form of a bill enacted into law and signed by a governor. Then the NCAA will realize that they need to take seriously the problems confronted by athletes. And they have to bring the changes, or somebody else will. I just hate that there is not the interest shown in what is happening to these athletes as is shown in a football game itself. If you were to bring the football players over here, the Chamber would be full. If you were to tell the senators that there will be some free football tickets available, they would be here to take those tickets, as everybody is willing to take a free ride on the backs of the players. But when an issue is before us, designed to help these players, who do not get benefits that equal the cost of attending the university, the Chamber is virtually empty. Well,