

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 6, 2003

LB 165

inexpensively and I think it can do it better.

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR PREISTER: I think it does have to make some adjustments at this particular power plant. I don't think that this is the way to do it. If we did partner with NMC, that's one thing. That's an issue, and I don't have as much argument with that. But when we indemnify them against negligence, then that's the issue and that's the concern that I raise now and that I hope people look at carefully, because it raises the issue of not only just who somebody is employed by, but whether or not this is truly public power and whether we're changing major public policy direction without understanding that we're doing that.

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Preister. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, Senator Vrtiska or maybe Senator Schrock, why...this is to just deal with one problem, NPPD, as I hear in the discussion. Why do you have a public power district, a public power or irrigation district as part of the may indemni...why do you have that that broad? Just because it's there, kind of all done together at the same time?

SENATOR SCHROCK: It's...if you're asking me...

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah, I would ask you, Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: I'm told it's all in the same statutes.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Okay. Okay. Is Senator Preister correct that the licensing now will be done...will be shifted from NPPD to this new organization?

SENATOR SCHROCK: The operating license. It would not change ownership.