

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 6, 2003 LB 165

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, they've demonstrated that at Fort Calhoun that...and at least they've gotten better ratings than the Cooper plant has.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Why shouldn't we just have OPPD run the plant for them?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I would guess that NPPD looked at that option. I don't know that. You know how the structure is. They're an elected board and they have a management team and so, you know, in some ways...

SENATOR BEUTLER: But then we'd be keeping the money, at least, the management fees or whatever, we'd be keeping it within the state, right?

SENATOR SCHROCK: That is ...

SENATOR BEUTLER: And we'd be keeping it within public power control.

SENATOR SCHROCK: And I don't know the differences in the plants. I don't know what the difference is in the Cooper plant and the Fort Calhoun plant. Maybe the Cooper plant is more difficult to manage. I don't know the answers to that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Going back to the question of the separation of control and operation from responsibility for that control and operation, that is nonliability, what...I've lost the thought here, Senator. But what would be the advantage of separating out negligence from what is described in the materials as misconduct? That is, in the materials it says, well, under the management contract we will still hold this not-for-profit corporation, we will still hold them responsible for misconduct...

SENATOR SCHROCK: I don't think this...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...but not for negligence? What is the difference there? Let me ask that first of all.