

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 6, 2003

LB 165

area. You know, I guess, to be honest about it, we go back to burning lamps and lanterns and we'd get away from a lot of these problems, but I don't suspect that's going to happen. So where we're at now is if we're going to continue to have the cheapest power that we can possibly produce, these plants need to continue to operate. And the only issue that we've got with nuclear plant at Brownville is getting the right kind of management that can hold the costs down to the level where people can get cheap power, and that's what people ask. There's people who...there are manufacturers who come to Nebraska based on the fact that power is cheaper in Nebraska than it is in some of the states around us, and I think that's a good thing for the state and something we should recognize when we talk about what to do with this bill. Now, it goes back to the bottom line, and the bottom line is simply that they need management down there, wherever they're from, who can manage this plant in an efficient enough manner to produce power at the lowest possible cost for the consumer, and that's the bottom line. This is what the board members of the Cooper...from NPP who are concerned about the future of this plant, what they can do to make it as efficient so we can continue to operate it into the future and get it relicensed for another period of time and continue operating. The investment is down there. The investment in this property is there by the citizens of this state, and the only reason they're making a change is because of what I talked about earlier, the uncertainty of the management and the fact that they've had such a low rating from the Nuclear Commission because of some of the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR VRTISKA: ...some of the problems that have arisen down there over the years. This company that they're bringing in has a record that is unblemished. They have...the kind of management they are doing for the other plant is so much superior than what's been happening down there that the company had to look at some way to manage this plant in the best...most efficient manner they could. So that's the reason this whole issue is before us. The issue, of course, is indemnification and it's necessary to get that done. And part of the reason for the 5-5 vote was some of the members were not sure about the