

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2003 LR 11

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, we wouldn't have any jurisdiction over that.

SENATOR JENSEN: But it is my understanding...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: But the same principle would apply, yeah.

SENATOR JENSEN: Right, in that Iowa would not grant a casino there. However, if we had casinos in Nebraska, they more than likely, Iowa would grant.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Except that that land hasn't been held in trust for gaming since 1988. They would have a very, very difficult time getting that (inaudible).

SENATOR JENSEN: Well, again, in this amendment, do we mention 1998 or anything...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: That's in the federal laws which we would have to follow, yes, the national Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR JENSEN: That's federal law. Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Jensen, Senator Schimek. Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the body. I'm certainly glad to see that this has generated as much discussion as it has. I thought maybe we'd have about two or three lights on and we'd just jump right to a vote here. Ha, ha. But, you know, the reason...I'd like to get back on to the eight locations, and I've explained this to some of my western colleagues. The reason for the eight, that was my idea, so that we could...that we would not eliminate the possibility of having something in the western part of the state. They pay taxes just like the rest of us do. They deserve to have something if they